

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,652	09/12/2003	Richard T. Knadle JR.	022.0008 (1630)	9349
29906 75	590 06/07/2005		EXAMINER	
INGRASSIA FISHER & LORENZ, P.C. 7150 E. CAMELBACK, STE. 325			DINH, TRINH VO	
SCOTTSDALE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2821	
			DATE MALLED OCCURROOM	_

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				H:A			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	'(1)			
		10/661,652	KNADLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Trinh Vo Dinh	2821				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address -				
	• •	·	I/C) EDOM				
THE - External after of the control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. p period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply p period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communicatio NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
Status	·						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	oril 2005.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		action is non-final.					
3)[,—						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>21-40,43 and 44</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>17-20</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
-	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance	epted or b)□ objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage				
Attachmen 1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413)				
i- ape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

This is a response to amendment filed 04/11/2005. The objections of claims 11-12 and the rejections of claims 1-44 under 35 USC & 112 second paragraph have been withdrawn in view of the amendment. However, Applicant's arguments with respect to reference Huang are not deemed to be persuasive. Therefore, the rejections of claims 1-16 and 42 based on Huang are retained and repeated for the following reasons.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-9 and 16 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huang (USP 5,220,335 of record).

With respect to claims 1-6, Huang discloses a driven element (12) and a first parasitic element (14) separated from said driven element, wherein at least one of said first parasitic element and said driven element have a width that is greater than about one-half a percent (0.5%) of an free-space wavelength of the directional antenna array (col. 4, lines 34-43, or col. 5, lines 3-13).

With respect to claims 2-4, Huang discloses said width is greater than about four percent (4%) of said free-space wavelength of the directional antenna array (col. 4, lines 34-43).

Application/Control Number: 10/661,652

Art Unit: 2821

With respect to claim 5, Huang discloses a second parasitic element (16) that is separated from said driven element (12), wherein said at least one of said first parasitic element, said driven element and said second parasitic element has said width that is greater than about one-half a percent (0.5%) of an free-space wavelength of the directional antenna array (col. 4, lines 34-43).

With respect to claim 6, Huang discloses a plurality of parasitic elements (16, 18) in addition to said first parasitic element (14).

With respect to claims 7-9, Huang discloses the first parasitic element (14) and a second parasitic element (16) being at least substantially in-plane elements (col. 3, lines 10-13), and the first parasitic element (14) being a reflector element and the second parasitic element (16) being a director element (col. 3, lines 10+).

With respect to claim 16, Huang discloses a balun structure (Figs. 3-4, col. 5, line 38 to col. 6, line 47).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10-12 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang in view of Woodard et al (US 2003/0125725 A1 of record).

With respect to claim 10 and 12, Huang discloses every feature of the claimed invention except the antenna elements being formed of a monolithic material as spring steel.

Woodland discloses an antenna being formed of spring steel (paragraph [0091]). However, selecting a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended uses as a matter of obvious design choice. Therefore, choosing spring steel as a material for Huang' antenna elements has been deem obvious to one having skill in the art.

With respect to claim 11, choosing resistivity for a material has been well known in the art to achieve a desired radiating parameters such as providing optimum absorption of the emitted radiation (for Applicant's information, the teaching is found in US Patent 5,493,704, col."2, lines 37-46). Therefore, selecting the resistivity for monolithic material as being greater than about 0.2×10^{-6} ohms-meter would have been obvious to one having skill in the art.

5. Claim 13 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang in view of Chen et al (USP 6,809,699 of record).

Huang discloses substantially the claimed invention as noted above in claim 1. However, Huang does not suggest a plurality of apertures in the driven element and the parasitic element. Chen discloses, in Fig. 3, the antenna element (20, 30) having a plurality of apertures (60, col. 48-56). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Huang's antenna elements with plurality of apertures as taught by Chen in order to reduce the electrical length of the antenna therefore improve the antenna's performances.

6. Claims 14-15 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang in view of MacDonald, Jr. et al (USP 6,061,036 of record).

Art Unit: 2821

Huang discloses every feature of the claimed invention except at least a portion of the antenna elements being covered with an elastomer. MacDonald discloses a driven element (18) and parasitic elements (26) being covered with elastomer layers (abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to cover Huang's antenna elements with elastomer dielectric layers as taught by MacDonald. Doing so would provide the antenna elements with highly flexural characteristic so that the antenna elements can be bent without permanent deformation (as disclosed by MacDonald, col. 1, lines 54-67).

- 7. Claims 14-15 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang in view of MacDonald, Jr. et al (USP 6,061,036 of record).
- 8. Claims 41-42 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang in view of Lanzl et al (USP 6,353,406 B1 of record).

Lanzl discloses an RFID interrogator (col. 1, line25-30) comprising a processing module (2300), a directional antenna (2312, 2314). However, Lanzl does not suggest the antenna being an antenna array having a driven element and a first parasitic element. Huang discloses an antenna array (10) having a driven element (12) and a first parasitic element (14) separated from said driven element, wherein at least one of said first parasitic element and said driven element have a width that is greater than about one-half a percent (0.5%) of an free-space wavelength of the directional antenna array (col. 4, lines 34-43). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ Huang antenna array to Lanzl tag system in order to achieve highly directional antenna patterns and provide a low profile antenna as well.

Allowed Subjected Matter

Art Unit: 2821

9. Claims 17-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 10. Claims 21-40 and 43-44 are presently allowed.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 The cited art of record fails to teach the balun structure comprising a dipole structure,
 a first feed point extending from said dipole structure, and a second feed point extending from
 said first parasitic element as defined in claims 17, 21 and 43.

Response to the arguments

12. With respect to claim 1, Applicant argues that the Huang reference fails to teach "the width of either the first parasitic element or the driven element is greater than about one-half a percent (0.5%) of a free-space wavelength". The examiner disagreed. As disclosed in col. 5, lines 3-13 of Huang, at the resonant frequency of 1.58 GHz, the driven element was 2.2 inches squares (the length of the driven element equals a square root of 2 which is 1.48 inches). Note that the relationship between the wavelength (λ) and the frequency (f) have been expressed by the formula λ 2 = 468 feet/f (in MHz). Replacing value of frequency of 1.58 GHz or 1580 MHz in the formula, the wavelength is 7.1 inches. Therefore, the length of the driven element (1,41 inches) is greater than about 0.5% of the free-space wavelength (0.5% of 7.1 inches= 0.355 inch). Since Huang discloses every feature of the claimed invention, 102 rejection of claim 1 is proper and retained.

With respect to the rejections of dependent claims 2-16 and claims 41-42, which employing the additional teaching of Huang, Woodland, Chen, MacDonald and Lenz, Applicant

Application/Control Number: 10/661,652

Art Unit: 2821

has not offer any specific argument thereagainst. Accordingly, no further comments concerning the rejections of the claims are necessary.

Conclusion

13. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Inquiry

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trinh Vo Dinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-1821. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong, can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

Art Unit: 2821

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art unit 2821

Trinh Vo Dinh

June 02, 2005